

# John Leckrone magnum opus

## Part 3

(Source: <https://www.facebook.com/john.leckrone.16/posts/973244136451413>)

Magnum opus part 3.

Public, judicial and constructive notice of facts and claims for File No. 14-000239-DE at Manistee County Probate Court, the physical location, not the corporation via video conferencing using zoom to include responses to petitioners documents dated 10 July, 2020.

The honorable John McCotter Leckrone, acting as the claimant, executor and beneficiary, a living man with status and standing on the land and not lost at sea or dead continues to retain all unalienable rights and waives none whether statutory or procedural or for any reason whatsoever. All presumptions without evidence are rebutted and claimant again establishes his common law court of record article three court where the magistrate trustee is separate from the tribunal and all actions involve only men and women under oath or affirmation of facts filed under the pains and penalties of perjury.

Claimant assumes his legitimate role as the sole representative for the estate of Karen Faye Leckrone, now deceased and by extension the estate of her husband, John Kirk Leckrone, claimants father which she had inherited before her death upon his death. This is claimants right by right of survivorship and evidence and claimants mothers original will on the public record in Manistee County and filed into a previous case and evidenced as well with this document.

All previous claims filed under the pains and penalties of perjury into this court of record by claimant continue to remain unchallenged and unrebutted by John M. Grogan, James R. Modrall, Patrick Looney and his attorney Mark Quinn. Those claims stand as truth in commerce, equity and in the common law. Claimant again reminds all other parties that this is a replevin action as well as a trover action for the return of all of claimants real and personal and proprietary property unlawfully converted by various third parties to include the defendant acting as petitioner Patrick Looney through his attorney Mark Quinn.

The origin of this controversy has its roots in corporations masquerading as legitimate governments and BAR attorney's using legalese, fraudulent conveyance of language, private acts, deceptive trade practices and banking fraud to pillage and plunder people for profit. Claimant fully comprehends the nature of this criminal system but does not understand it and continues to expose it on the record to include in this series of responses and claims.

The claimant filed his claims on this matter and real property and other real properties into the record several years ago and those claims continue to stand unrebutted to this day by the local taxing agents and other parties with BAR cards. These tax tribunals pretend to be courts of

justice but are instead simply a scheme using procedures without fairness or moral rightness. Note 18 U.S. Code chapter 63 to which they, but not the claimant, are a party to.

Claimant has reviewed the paperwork submitted by Patrick Looney through his attorney Mark Quinn. The claimants conditional acceptance of accepting past paperwork has not been challenged thus this is considered to be contract as well. The documents that should have been filed into the case should have included a forfeiture notice filed by the petitioner Patrick Looney but none has been filed. Petitioner is attempting to use a different unsigned document of which Paula Joyner never signed or filed. Why is this?

Since petitioner purchased Paula Joiner's interest it would be Petitioner's responsibility to do so through his attorney Mark Quinn. A failure of such magnitude would explain why the Petitioner and his attorney wanted to keep claimant in the dark and attempted to usurp claimants unalienable rights during the first zoom meeting. Claimant considers this to be gross negligence and carelessness on the part of the petitioners attorney Mark Quinn. The lack of candor by Petitioner and his attorney Mark Quinn is of concern to the claimant as well as evidenced in claimants response to issue number 8. At best this is gross negligence. At worst this is criminal. Claimant considers the entirety of this action to be criminal and calls for an investigation by both the Sheriff and the State Bar Of Michigan association private society of Petitioners attorney Mark Quinn's involvement in this matter. The gross negligence by Mark Quinn is beyond a reasonable doubt to the claimant and Mark Quinn's fellow BAR members may feel the same way.

Now on to the responses to the supplemental petition to reopen the estate filed by Patrick Looney through his attorney Mark Quinn. Claimant notes original provisions 1-7 are again reaffirmed by the defendant acting as the Petitioner Patrick Looney and his attorney Mark Quinn. Once again nothing has been filed under the pains and penalties of perjury to be facts entered into as evidence. On the contrary it has been used by the claimant to prove his claims! The below numbers are associated with the below supplemental document that the claimant received from Petitioner's attorney Mark Quinn. Claimants responses will be beneath each of petitioners numbered statements next to the words "claimants response".

8. Petitioner is a creditor of the decedent's estate. Petitioner is the assignee of a land contract whereby the decedent, along with her husband, as husband and wife were the purchasers of property from Paula Joiner. Paula Joyner assigned and conveyed her interest in the land contract to petitioner.

Claimants response. Note the spelling error on petitioners paperwork of Paula Joyner's last name when speaking of the assignment and of the two most important documents filed by the Petitioner which the claimant has pointed out have no signature of Paula Joyner. Is that deliberate? At the very least it is again evidence of gross negligence by the attorney Mark Quinn as it is the most important statement made in this most recent document received by the claimant. Claimants parents had a contract with Paula Joyner, not Paula Joiner. Additionally evidence submitted by the Petitioner through his attorney has numerous errors which are very obvious to claimant. The most important issue is where is Paula Joyner's signature on the QUIT

CLAIM DEED dated the 30th day of March, 2017 and filed with MARYLYNN WRZESINSKI, the REGISTER OF DEEDS in Manistee County Michigan on 8/31/17? See exhibit D. Also note the lack of a signature from Paula Joyner on the QUIT-CLAIM DEED also filed on 8/31/17. How did the Petitioners attorney miss these most relevant issues on not one but two documents mailed to the claimant? Claimant is entitled to a full lawful explanation as to why this is not gross negligence, a contempt of court, the fraudulent use of evidence and criminal in nature by the Petitioner Patrick Looney and his attorney Mark Quinn. Additionally the claimant asks why should he even be a party to any of this most blatant fraud?

9. The decedent was not timely with land contract payments and therefore, petitioner is a creditor of the estate.

Claimants response. Claimant cannot believe that he has to state this for the record but obviously he does. The decedent, being the woman Karen Faye Leckrone, is dead and gone. No payments were made by her after her demise because she was deceased. Payments were instead made by the new owner and heir to the property John McCotter Leckrone who again is acting as the claimant here. Additionally what evidence has the petitioner filed under the pains and penalties of perjury that petitioner is a creditor of claimants mothers estate?

10. Petitioner acknowledges that pursuant to MCL 700.3203, unless disqualified, John Leckrone is a "person" who would have priority to act as personal representative.

Claimants response. As previously stated under the pains and penalties of perjury, John Leckrone is a man with unalienable rights which have been trampled and trespassed on and is not a person. Claimant once again rebuts the term "person" being assigned to claimant. The word "person" is legalize for "corporation" a dead thing and not a living man or woman. Additionally the Michigan Compiled Laws are foreign to claimant as he is not an employee of the STATE OF MICHIGAN corporation or party to the bylaws for its employees and slave subjects. Additionally claimants parents were not a party to it either as their domicile was in the landmass of Tennessee evidenced by documents filed in the form of a WILL. The man Thomas N. Brunner, acting as trustee with a black robe, was told by the claimant that the claimant was not a party to the MCL several years ago in the original case. Since the trustee did not rebut or object to this fact at the time we again have contract and truth in commerce that claimant is not a party to it.

11. MCL 700.3203 (2) provides as follows:

"An objection to the appointment of a personal representative may be made only in a formal proceeding. If an objection is made, the priorities prescribed by subsection (1) apply except in either of the following circumstances:

(a) If the estate appears to be more than adequate to meet exemptions and costs of administration but inadequate to discharge anticipated unsecured claims, on petition of creditors, the court may appoint any qualified person..."

Claimants response. Again what evidence is to be presented that the claimant is party to the MCL? The fact that the claimant is protecting his rights and private property at this time does not make him subject to a de facto government corporation or it's agents.

12. Petitioner believes the estate is adequate to meet exemptions and costs of administration or alternatively, the Petitioner is willing to be responsible for those costs of administration and is inadequate to discharge any anticipated unsecured claims and Petitioner is a creditor; therefore, the court may appoint any qualified person. Petitioner believes he would be a qualified person under the circumstances.

Claimants response. Petitioner can believe whatever he so chooses. Should he wish to be subject to a corporation that is his right to do so. In no way does that change his status to that of a claimant. The words "cost of administration" is code for "cost of stealing property under the color of law" based on claimants research and facts presented and unrebutted.

13. The court can take judicial notice of the prior estate that was opened by a creditor and the lack of action or involvement by Mr. Leckrone in that matter.

Claimants response. Mr. is a title to a slave on a ship and Leckrone is a family name that in no way identifies him. As stated repetitively claimant is to be referred to as "claimant" or "John". Claimant was on a path of discovery to determine facts related to the estate. To claimants dismay early on he discovered massive amounts of fraud being perpetrated by multiple parties to include the self appointed agents of the imaginary creditor who by law are not permitted to lend "money", only extend credit. This creditor, the HONOR BANK, was not capable of making claims against the estate. The HONOR BANK'S agents, John M. Grogan and James R. Modrall III, had no knowledge of any facts and used fraudulent paperwork in their filings. Claimant again reminds everyone he can easily prove this to be the case which is why he has called for a grand jury of 25 members and several alternates. Claimant has brought this to the attention of all parties before this and does so again now.

Claimant has repetitively called for a grand jury of 25 people plus numerous alternates so that claimant can present his mountains of evidence and claims against multiple criminal enterprises and their agents. As stated previously under the pains and penalties of perjury before in documents filed by claimant, his evidence includes documents and witnesses and facts that cannot be disputed. Additionally note that not one single party has rebutted claimants facts to include John M. Grogan and James R. Modrall III who have been sent the same documents filed by the claimant into this case. The local taxing agent was also mailed documents and has not rebutted them.

Claimant has spent years of his life researching and exposing corruption in government and the judiciary. Claimant basically does so on an almost daily basis. Facts require evidence and to discover all that the claimant had discovered required a lot of time, research and networking with others who shared their knowledge of what they discovered with the claimant.

14. MCL 700.3204 (3) provides "A person is not qualified to serve as a personal representative if the person is either under the age of 18 or is a person whom the court finds unsuitable in formal proceedings".

Claimants response. Again for the record the claimant is a man with unalienable rights being trampled on by Person's (corporations) masquerading as "government" through their agents and is not subject to the MCL. Additionally the claimant would like to remind all parties that claimants court is a common law court of record article III court where rights are protected and the guilty are brought to justice for their criminal actions.

15. Petitioner believes this court can find that Mr. Leckrone is "unsuitable" for, in part, the following reasons:

a. MCL 700.3704 provides "A personal representative shall proceed expeditiously with the settlement and distribution of a decedent's estate..."

Claimants response. Again claimant reminds all parties that the MCL does not apply and the title Mr. Leckrone does not apply to the claimant either. Claimant continues to remind all parties to refer to claimant by his first name John or the title of claimant or beneficiary. Having said that the claimant did move expeditiously by taking possession of his inherited property. This property was later stolen under the color of law using fraud by third parties. Prior to this the claimant worked diligently to make sure all debts were paid to the very best of his abilities to which he has evidence to include that filed by the petitioner. Claimant has all of the evidence to support his claims and is also the only one who is suitable to pursue those guilty of a multitude of crimes. The claimant has done his due diligence and is now ready to proceed.

16. Although Mr. Leckrone was never appointed personal representative, he also took no action in regards to addressing issues pertaining to the estate including the commencement of the estate or any action once an estate was opened. Mr. Leckrone would have had priority when this estate was initially opened but a personal representative other than Mr. Leckrone was appointed.

Claimants response. Again the titles Mr. and Leckrone are rebutted as they are vague and without clarity and in no way identify the claimant. The claimant recognized the maritime admiralty constructive contract fraud kangaroo courts for what they were several years ago and saw he would get no justice at that time as he was not yet ready to present his evidence. The trustee in the black robe Thomas N. Brunner knows that claimant was a participant as the trustee was present at the time and knows claimant was a participant. This is also evidenced in the court records. Claimant was not fully prepared at the time to fully bring forth his claims. The fraud and deception was so severe the claimant had difficulty learning much of it. Claimant's acquired knowledge required years of research and networking with hundreds of people to assemble all of the facts that the claimant is now in possession of. As previously stated under the pains and penalties of perjury these administrative courts are nothing more than an extension of the criminal banking cabal where the cestui que vie (proof of life) trust is pillaged for profit by

bankers and attorney's. No debt can be paid, only discharged evidenced by the FEDERAL RESERVE NOTE currency in circulation now which is only a negotiable debt instrument and is a liability to the UNITED STATES corporation. Again these facts are already on file and have not been disputed.

17. Mr. Leckrone's June 16 letter alleges Petitioner has been involved in acts of "criminal trespass", which can be construed as an "an act of theft, and an act of war". See Mr. Leckrone's letter witnessed June 16, 2020.

Claimants response. Claimant did not allege anything. Claimant filed the above claims under the pains and penalties of perjury that all of the above facts of theft and criminal trespassing are true and does so again now. Claimant even used the Petitioner's own documents to prove it. Neither the Petitioner Patrick Looney nor the Petitioner's attorney Mark Quinn have disputed these easy to prove facts.

18. Mr. Leckrone's letter dated June 3, 2020 discussing sovereign immunity with at least an implication the court may be part of sovereign immunity and that this court is involved in some type of criminal action.

Claimants response. The evidence speaks for itself. Sovereign immunity has been a tool tyrants have used to protect themselves from being held accountable for their crimes. My library of books includes many related to both the legal society and lawful courts of record. Claimant knows the difference between the two.

19. The letter dated June 3, 2020 also addresses the following matters:

Mr. Leckrone has standing as it "is his equity and his property that was unlawfully stolen..." Thus, Mr. Leckrone considers the property to be his, not the estates and brings into question how he would act as a personal representative.

This is further supported by the letter under paragraph 2, page 7 that states "(Mr. Leckrone) is sole heir and owner of the real property and it's equity generally known as 13291 Lynn Street, Bear Lake Michigan..."

Mr. Leckrone discusses "These felonious war crimes". "(Mr. Leckrone) believes that no other living man or woman has a superior claim to his.."

Pursuant to MCL 700.3704 "A personal representative shall proceed expeditiously with the settlement and distribution of a decedent's estate..."

Whether Mr. Leckrone was appointed personal representative or not, this estate was commenced in 2014 by a creditor of the estate and there is little, if any support in the file that Mr. Leckrone made any attempts to probate or assist in probating the estate. Previously when the estate was open Mr. Leckrone was not appointed personal representative.

Claimants response. Again with "Mr. Leckrone" rather than "John" or "claimant". Note how Petitioner changed the words to suit his needs. Claimant can easily prove his claims and reminds everyone that all of the claims made have been filed under the pains and penalties of perjury unlike the Petitioners. Compelled performance and the requirement to participate in and contract with a corrupt judiciary and it's BAR attorney agents to take lawful possession of real and personal and proprietary property left to the claimant is absurd. Claimant took immediate possession of all real and personal property, continued to settle the estate as best as he could while also dealing with other criminal enterprises which had declared war on claimant and his family. These criminal enterprises have extorted large sums of FEDERAL RESERVE NOTE negotiable debt instruments from the claimant in the process along with taking away valuable time and life force from more appropriate pursuits the claimant would rather participate in. As far as evidence is concerned claimant has decades of evidence acquired now and years of evidence acquired from this particular situation. The truth exposes the corruption and the lies. These trespasses on the claimant and his property are criminal in nature and are unlawful war crimes. Pretending that this is not the case does not change that truth. Note that the Petitioner through his BAR attorney agent has not brought forth a single shred of evidence to challenge claimants valid claims filed under the pains and penalties of perjury. That evidence speaks volumes in and of itself. Also a subtle reminder that the Petitioner is using an attorney which according to the beliefs of BAR members makes the Petitioner unable to handle his own affairs and thus not suitable.

20. Although Mr. Leckrone may have initial priority pursuant to MCL 700.3203 (1) there is a legitimate question as to whether or not he should be "disqualified".

Claimants answer. Again with the "Mr. Leckrone" and MCL 700.3203. Claimant again points out that his name is John and that the MCL does not apply and no evidence has been brought forward to prove that it does. How is it that the claimant should be "disqualified" from owning his own property? Where is the contract that someone else gets to decide for the claimant when it is his right to take possession of said property? Only one party was permitted to make that choice other than the claimant. That would be claimants now deceased mother Karen and she did so in the form of a WILL which is a trust which has been pillaged for profit by corporations masquerading as legitimate governments and their attorney's who are appointed by the board of directors of these corporations to pillage for the profit of bankers and corporate employees.

21. Further, MCL 700.3203 (2) provides as follows:

"An objection to the appointment of a personal representative may be made only in a formal proceeding. If an objection is made, the priorities described by subsection (1) apply except in either of the following circumstances:

(a) If the estate appears to be more than adequate to meet exemptions and costs of administration but inadequate to discharge anticipated unsecured claims, on petition of creditors, the court may appoint any qualified person".

Petitioner believes that any exemptions would have been met during the initial administration of this estate. Petitioner believes the estate is sufficient to cover the cost of administration but if not, Petitioner is willing to cover the cost of administration. Petitioner believes the estate is inadequate to discharge any anticipated unsecured claims and Petitioner as a creditor believes himself to be a qualified person to act as personal representative in this matter based upon the facts and circumstances present.

Claimants response. Again with the "Mr. Leckrone" and the MCL. No evidence at all has been provided to prove claimant must be a party to the Michigan Compiled Laws, a private for profit corporation in the business of providing "necessary governmental services" which trades on Wall Street evidenced on the Dun and Bradstreet website [www.dnb.com](http://www.dnb.com). The claimants' assets were stolen under the color of law. These assets were more than suitable to cover all lawful expenses and even the legal exploitation by corporations masquerading as lawful debts yet due to theft and extreme hardships created by a criminal cabal in various areas of the country and claimants need to acquire evidence claimant could not seek remedy until now. Claimant again calls for a grand jury of 25 members plus several alternatives for a court case to be live streamed online where evidence and facts can be presented to the people of America and the world of the depths and depravities of such a magnitude that the claimant fears for his own life and that of his family, friends and neighbors regardless of their geographic location on this planet. There is only one reason to deny the claimant the remedy claimant has called for. That would be to protect the interests of the criminal enterprises and the men and women who are guilty of crimes against all people for the purpose of profit and exploitation. Any implied social contract does not include crimes as acceptable. No knowledgeable party would subject themselves to criminal control of their own free will if they were fully informed and given the choice. This criminal cabal maintains its power through the use of threat, duress and enforcement by privateers wearing costumes and badges and a gun and other agents with BAR cards. The legal authority granted by a criminal enterprise to do something does not legitimize it when it is used unlawfully against the people or the planet. Being a member of the BAR was not a requirement in most states until early in claimants lifetime. That change made a societal change that is now tyrannical where a private union dues paying club makes unilateral decisions for millions of people every single day for profit.

22. MCL 700.3204 (3) provides "A person is not qualified to serve as a personal representative if the person is either under the age of 18 or is a person whom the court finds unsuitable in formal proceedings". Petitioner believes Mr. Leckrone is unsuitable for the reasons stated herein; his lack of involvement in the prior initial proceedings of this estate and based upon the documents he has filed in the current proceedings.

Claimants response. Again claimant reminds all parties that he is not subject to the Michigan Compiled Laws. Unlike the Petitioner who is using an agent the claimant has done all of his own work with regards to his claims. The Petitioner is not capable of handling his own affairs. Claimant required years of research to determine many of the facts now presented in his claims. The Petitioner is welcome to believe whatever he wishes to believe. This includes the belief in the tooth fairy and that the corporations masquerading as lawful governments are there for his

benefit. The claimant is not that naive and has already gone to great lengths to present his evidence which has yet to be disputed by any party.

23. MCL 700.3611 (2) provides "The court may remove a personal representative under any of the following circumstances:

a. Removal is in the best interest of the estate..."

Claimants response. Again, the claimant is not a party to the fraud of the MCL. Let the facts show that removal is in the "best interest" of those in the business of unlawful enrichment and conversion through criminal activity under the color of law. Claimant is fully prepared to present all facts before a grand jury of 25 members plus alternates and let those facts be live streamed to the people of this planet. The only people who would fear this are those guilty of the crimes of which the claimant is exposing.

24. The court of appeals in the case of In re Estate of Stan 301 Mich. App. 435, 447 (2013) stated "...any ground which would justify the removal of a personal representative under MCL 700.3611 (2) is equally sufficient to support an interested persona' objection to the initial appointment of a personal representative under MCL 700.3203 (2).

Claimants response. Again what evidence is there that the claimant is party to the MCL? If we are going to discuss old court cases pertaining to theft by corporations masquerading as de jure government, the claimant would much rather discuss RAFAELI, LLC v. OAKLAND COUNTY Docket No. 156849. Argued November 7, 2019 (Calendar No. 1). Decided July 17, 2020.

This is from the article in THE DETROIT NEWS by Beth LeBlanc published online at 2:01 p.m. ET July 17, 2020. This article online also includes the syllabus of this case linked to it which came directly from the Michigan Supreme Court to which all BAR members and corporations masquerading as governments in Michigan are subject to. Claimant now quotes the author...

"In a decision that could have large financial implications for counties throughout the state, the Michigan Supreme Court unanimously ruled Friday that counties cannot sell tax-foreclosed property at a profit without compensating the individual from whom the property was taken. Counties that retain profit over the amount of tax owed without compensating the previous property owner participate in an "unconstitutional taking," according to the opinion. "

Claimant again reminds everyone of all of his claims made prior to this. Is this not exactly what that decision shows? The corporations have been profiting from the theft of property and people have not been compensated for it. Claimant has had real and personal property stolen from him under the color of law with no compensation given or offered by any party guilty of the crimes of taking. While claimant knows all parties will suggest that the "estate" was not settled, claimant knows that it was. He has shown that evidence as he was sole heir to the estate of his deceased parents and worked diligently in it's upkeep. Absolutely no one can dispute this fact regardless of how they wish to twist the law for their own profit and benefit at the expense of the claimant.

The claimant lost both real and personal property with extensive value due to the actions of these corporations and their agents. Some of this property was acquired by the claimant after the death of his parents. The unlawful taking and disposition of claimants property cannot in any way be justified even using legalize and the color of law. The crime of theft is plain and simple and easy to prove. The claimant has stated this clearly multiple times now and no one can or will dispute it with facts or evidence to suggest otherwise. While claimant is not a party to the "Supreme Court" decision, all other people involved in this action to include MANISTEE COUNTY corporation and all of the attorney's involved with claimant's estate inherited from his parents are. Claimant has all of the facts and has filed them under the pains and penalties of perjury and they have not and cannot be disputed.

25. Petitioner believes there are grounds to remove the personal representative and therefore provides a basis for this court to deny the initial appointment of Mr. Leckrone as a personal representative.

Claimants response. Again belief is subjective and Petitioner can believe whatever he and his attorney like. The man John, acting as the claimant shows facts, not opinions or conjecture. No one can produce one shred of evidence to dispute any of claimants indisputable facts.

26. MCL 700.3614 allows this court to appoint a special personal representative if "...the court finds that the appointment is necessary to preserve the estate or to secure its proper administration, including its administration in circumstances in which a general personal representative cannot or should not act. If it appears to the court that an emergency exists, the court may order the appointment without notice". MCL 700.3614 (b).

Claimants response. Claimant agrees this is an emergency issue. That is why the claimant has dedicated years of his life learning the world of fraud and legalize and the history and origins behind it. Claimant recognizes the precarious position the trustee with the black robe Thomas N. Brunner is now in. The trustees' desire for the two parties to "settle out of court" is necessary to prevent the claimants evidence from being heard by a jury. It is not now or has it ever been the claimants goal to cause any party harm. Claimants goal has been to learn the truth and once discovered share it with other parties which at one point even included the Petitioner. There are questions that each of us must now answer and our actions will show our intentions. Do we wish to live in a society where criminality is rewarded and good and moral people are subjugated? Are honesty, integrity and the simple concept of "do no harm" now foreign to the people here in America? Can rights be trampled with impunity by foreign agents with BAR cards and corporations who have enslaved entire populations under the guise of providing "governmental services"? Do we want our young people to be subject to this and far worse in the very near future?

This is a pivotal time in America as well as the world. The global economic reset is taking place behind the scenes and the prison planet is getting fortified right now. If it is not stopped very soon the evil that has been this planet's history will continue without end. All it takes for evil to prosper is for good men to do nothing. The claimant has stood up on behalf of people

everywhere to share the truth in the hopes that a resolution can be found by holding criminals accountable. Claimant is a realist but still holds out a glimmer of hope that truth can overcome the lies and propaganda. In the end this little piece of claimants property which is part of a much larger estate is not even a drop in the bucket of the wealth stolen from the planet by a few people who exploit the many. But the claimant is using it to show the fraud and is doing so with a clear conscious.

The claimant has seen some of his friends and fellow concerned people killed or disappeared or caged to protect the system as it exists now. Claimant will not submit himself or his family to the dystopian society he currently lives in and the future dystopian society that is now having the final touches put on it. In life we each have free will. As long as people live in a society where "do no harm" is the basis of law there is justice and people have property rights. Peace is not to be enforced through violence or the threat of violence but instead through working together in a voluntarist society where people work together to make all of society better. Claimant is doing this. Who will join?

27. Petitioner is a creditor of the estate and should be appointed personal representative for the reasons stated herein.

Claimants response. Claimant is the owner of the estate and asks for remedy and relief and again calls for a grand jury of 25 members and alternates to hear all facts and evidence. The Petitioner is free to file any claims under the pains and penalties of perjury that Petitioner feels he is entitled to and submit it to this grand jury but the evidence Petitioner has currently filed is worthless to prove his petition. Claimant is prepared to accept any decision made by a grand jury consisting of 25 people and several alternate's regarding any of the claimants property. This would include any finding in the Petitioner's and criminal trespasser's favor.

The issues with regards to this controversy are simple. They have been made complicated through an unlawful legal system based on hidden contracts and tools of control. Additionally there has been an excellent job of marketing to a population of slaves that they should enjoy their servitude under the belief they actually matter to the corporations masquerading as "government" (Latin origin words meaning "mind control"). Claimant has discovered these things to be true and has researched them extensively and presented these facts and entered them into evidence again and again and done so under the pains and penalties of perjury. These facts have not been challenged.

The claimant again stands by all previous claims and again shares these claims which are already on the record as being filed by the claimant with additional information added.

Mark Quinn, the attorney for the defendant Patrick Looney, has concurred that his initial move is a salvage claim for the property. It is well known that those making a claim for salvage rights that the parties making the claim must use due care to protect the property of the true owners of the property and those creating a salvage lien are not entitled to more than a fee for the salvage. No one is entitled to more than that in maritime admiralty courts, courts of equity or in common

law courts of record. The common law courts of record are supposed to be mirrored by all of the other courts mentioned above but rarely do. These acts of greed by the defendant Patrick Looney through his attorney Mark Quinn are a direct reflection of the defendant's poor character and lack of a moral compass.

The entirety of this theft, criminal trespassing and custodial interference and controversy is the result of men and women with BAR card union dues cards and employees of corporations masquerading as governments as agents using tax fraud, peonage and press ganging. At no time has anyone sworn or affirmed under the pains and penalties of perjury that a single debt was owed by either of claimants parents or the claimant. Evidence of a debt is not proof of a debt or that a debt is even owed. No one has presented a single shred of evidence of a public notice to claimant in the form of a certified letter or otherwise published true bill.

Claimant has and continues to make a good faith effort to expose the nature of this slave system tax farm. All edicts by agents of corporations masquerading as government are fiat, Latin for "Let it be done." and these dictates by politicians and bureaucrats are enforced through the use of deception and force. It is a contempt of court to ignore irrefutable evidence and promote criminality and deny real justice to those with lawful claims who are standing in honor and for justice.

To be ignorant of the law is no excuse. The pathetically simple concept of law is simply to do no harm. Claimant has consistently sought after justice and found none in the maritime admiralty constructive contract and racketeering fraud kangaroo courts. Claimant has been harmed and injured again and again as others trespass on claimants unalienable rights and steal or attempt to steal his real and personal property using fraud and other unlawful means.

Claimant is entitled to set off and recoupment from the trespasser and thief Patrick Looney regarding this matter as well as punitive damages from the defendant for his unlawful actions as well as those by Petitioners attorney Mark Quinn. The claimant intends to hold numerous other people and the corporations they work for accountable for their crimes as well with regards to the pillaging of his parents estate which he took lawful possession of. This is a conspiracy of such magnitude and depravity as to point to a past, present and future dystopian society that is not acceptable to the claimant under any circumstances.

As always truth is a defense to defamation to include slander, that which is spoken, and libel, that which is written or published.

The facts presented here and in the other previously filed claims are true to the best of claimants knowledge and belief and are based on all available evidence, due diligence, years of exhaustive research, extensive peer review, available public records and the natural law concept of do no harm. We are to love our neighbors and seek after peace, justice and cooperation using voluntarism, logic and reason. Men and women have unalienable rights to property not owned by other men and women. Corporations and their agents only have duties and responsibilities as trustees and these corporations agents regularly abuse this position. Claimant expects and is entitled to remedy, cure and relief. These facts are filed by John, acting as the claimant, under

the pains and penalties of perjury. May peace and justice prevail against slavery, tyranny and corruption in this dystopian society.

John Leckrone

Man's autograph, no commercial value

current domicile

3487 Arvin Drive

Clarksville Tennessee